



Padova, October 1980

Effects of stellar physics on the chemical yields

> André Maeder Geneva Observatory

In collaboration with Georges Meynet

MASS LOSS
ROTATION-MIXING
BINARY EVOLUTION
MAGNETIC EFFECTS

#### What mass loss in pre- supernova stages of massive stars ?

### <u>Case</u> of WR stars

The proximity to the Eddington limit is the physical reason for the onset of WR type mass loss Gräfener et al. 2011









## THREE PROPERTIES OF ROTATION AT LOW Z

### -1. More fast rotators



## Confirmed by:

Wisniewski & Björkman, 2006 Mokiem et al . 2006 Martayan et al. 2007 Martayan et al. 2010





## Z=0.02: drastic deacrease for highmasses



## Z=0.004: more stars reach break-up velocities.



Maeder & Meynet, 2001

-3. Low Z stars reach critical rotation → ROTATIONAL MASS LOSS

#### NEW STABILITY CRITERION Maeder et al. A&A, 553, A1 (2013)

- the effects of thermal gradients, <u>SCHWARZSCHILD</u>
- the thermohaline mixing, ULRICH, KIPPENHAHN
- the semiconvective diffusion, LEDOUX CRITERION
- the shear mixing to the local excess of energy in differential rotating layers, RICHARDSON CRITERION
- the stabilizing or destabilizing effect of the distribution of angular momentum, **RAYLEIGH-TAYLOR**
- the radiative losses,

#### - the transport of heat by the horizontal turbulence, ZAHN

- the element diffusion in the medium due to the horizon-ZAHN tal turbulence.

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\rm STABLE} \,, \,\, {\rm IF} & \left[ N_{\rm ad}^2 + N_{\mu}^2 + N_{\Omega-\delta v}^2 \right] \, x^2 + \\ \left[ N_{\rm ad}^2 D_{\rm h} + N_{\mu}^2 (K+D_{\rm h}) + N_{\Omega-\delta v}^2 (K+2D_{\rm h}) \right] \, x + \\ & N_{\Omega-\delta v}^2 (D_{\rm h} K+D_{\rm h}^2) \, > \, 0 \,, \end{array}$$

with

$$N_{\Omega-\delta v}^2 = \frac{1}{\varpi^3} \frac{d\left(\Omega^2 \,\varpi^4\right)}{d\varpi} \,\sin\vartheta - \mathcal{R}i_{\rm c} \left(\frac{dv}{dr}\right)^2.$$

**Possible damping** or amplification of mixing effects !

DUDIS







From VLT-FLAMES survey (Hunter et al. 2009)



# Ex. of fit of spectra and ADS models calculated by Przybilla with Hunter et al. parameters

Maeder, Przybilla, Nieva et al. 2013





## **BINARIES:** Tidally shear induced mixing (TISM)

Song, Maeder, Meynet, Huang, Ekström, Granada (2013) **Tidal interaction + meridional circulation + shears + horizontal turbulence in binary models (15**  $M_{\odot}$  + 10  $M_{\odot}$ )









$$\eta(r) \equiv \frac{B^2/8\pi}{\rho v^2/2}$$

ud-Doula & Owocki (2002)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{2}{3}\dot{M}\Omega R_*^2 [0.29 + (\eta_* + 0.25)^{1/4}]^2$$

Meynet, Eggenberger, Maeder 2011



#### Magnetic coupling coupling-envelope

- Asteroseismology (KEPLER), red giant KIC 8366339: core rotates only 10 x faster than surface Beck et al. (2012),
- Additional braking mechanism (Eggenberger et al. 2012)
- Pulsar models rotate too fast (Heger et al. 2004)

#### Truncation radius:

$$\left(\frac{r_t}{R_c}\right) = \left(\frac{2B_c^2}{\pi\alpha^2 g \rho \delta (\nabla - \nabla_{\rm ad}) H_P}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\eta}}$$

#### Loss of angular momentum by the core:

$$\frac{dJ_c}{dt} = -\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \, \int_{M_c}^{M(r_t)} r^2 \left[\Omega_c - \Omega(M_r)\right] \sigma_B(M_r) \, dM_r$$

Maeder & Meynet In prep. Effects of stellar physics on the chemical yields

> André Maeder Geneva Observatory

Gollaboration with Georges Meynet

MASS LOSS
ROTATION-MIXING
BINARY EVOLUTION
MAGNETIC BRAKING



Ex. of fit of spectra and ADS models calculated by Przybilla with Hunter et al. parameters

Maeder, Przybilla, Nieva et al. 2013



#### At low Z: Large fraction of MS spent at break--up



Ekström, Meynet, Maeder 2005



## **BINARIES:** Tidally shear induced mixing (TISM)

Song, Maeder, Meynet, Huang, Ekström, Granada (2013)

Tidal interaction + meridional circulation + shears + horizontal turbulence in binary models (15 M + 10 M )

CASE SPIN DOWN:  $v_{ini}/v_{crit}=0.6$ ,  $P_{orb}=1.1-1.8$  d



# **N/C vs. N/O plot:** depends only on nuclear properties, Independent on mass and rotation, ~linear up to 0.6

(Maeder, Przybilla, Nieva , Meynet et al. 2013)



# **N/C vs. N/O plot:** depends only on nuclear properties, Independent on mass and rotation, ~linear up to 0.6

(Maeder, Przybilla, Nieva , Meynet et al. 2013)





From VLT-FLAMES survey (Hunter et al. 2009)

## **CASE SPIN** <u>UP:</u> $v_{ini}/v_{crit}=0.2$ , $P_{orb}=0.9-1.4$ d

