The Metallicity Gradients in the Disks From SEGUE

Jennifer Johnson Ohio State University

Outline of talk

- Overview of SDSS/SEGUE survey
- Metallicity gradients from SEGUE
 - Radial gradient from Cheng, et al. 2012
 - Vertical gradient from Schlesinger, et al. 2012
- APOGEE and seismology
 - APOKASC
 - CoRoT

SEGUE Footprint



Yanny et al. 2009

Sloan Digital Sky Survey Overview

- Each plate observes 7 square degrees
- Variety of target categories
 - Color and magnitude cuts from photometry
- Stellar spectra processed through the SSPP (Lee et al. 2011)
- All SEGUE/SEGUE2 data now released
- Improvements in stellar parameters in Data Release 9

SEGUE Survey In Perspective

- Now outside the solar neighborhood not one MDF
- No kinematic or alpha selection used (for these studies)
- Fainter stars = lower resolution (R~18000) abundance information considerably less
- Target selection algorithm based on public data

Correcting for Selection Biases

- Incomplete sampling
 - Function of I, b
- Magnitude range not sampled proportionally
- Color cuts correspond to different mass ranges depending on metallicity
- Age effects (not a problem for the G/K sample)
- Correlated distance/metallicity errors
- Testing by "observing" models

Radial Metallicity Gradient Cheng et al. 2012

- 22 low-latitude plates yielding 7010 stars
- Blue color cut
- Distances sensitive to age
- Spectroscopic parameters for Teff, logg, and [Fe/H] used because of high and variable reddening
- Tested on Galactic models

Location of Sample in R-Z plane



Age Impacts on Distances



Radial Gradient changes with Z



Vertical Metallicity Gradient Schlesinger et al. 2012

- G and K stars were heavily targeted by SEGUE
- "G dwarfs"
 - $-0.48 < g-r_0 < 0.55$
 - 24,270 stars with S/N > 10
 - 1.59-2.29 kpc for "volume completeness"
- "K dwarfs"
 - $-0.55 < g r_0 < 0.75$
 - 16,847 stars with S/N > 10
 - 1.19-1.84 kpc for "volume completeness"

Correcting for target selection



GCS and SEGUE comparison



Radial Gradient -- Agrees with Cheng et al. 2012



MDF as a function of height



Shift due to increasing α -rich population



Alpha histograms from Lee et al. 2011

Strong disagreement with Galactic models



AGES

- Powerful test of disk formation/evolution models
- Open cluster provide accurate ages, but do not cover all of parameter space
- Great hope from Gaia both direct and indirect improvement in ages
- APOGEE + asteroseismology for red giant ages

Ages for Red Giants



- Red giants are luminous and can be seen to large distances
- Mass of red giant = mass of star than just exhausted its hydrogen
- But mass difficult to determine based on position in HR diagram.

SDSS3 -- APOGEE

- H-band survey of Galactic populations
- 100,000 stars (80% red giants)
- R~25,000
- 15 elements including
 C, N, O, Na, Mg, Ca, Mn,
 Fe, Co, Ni
- Targeted from 2MASS







Bulge Str. 5 + Disk Str. 6 + Halo Str. -- sampled Giants



Galactocentric distance (pc)

Kepler Light curve



Huber et al. 2010

With Mass and Metallicity – Age!



- Using scaling relations OK to first order
- For better analysis, compare measured frequencies with predicted frequencies from models, including composition information
- Gai et al. 2010 Mosser et al. 2011, Kallinger et al. 2010, Metcalfe et al. 2010





Looking Across the Galaxy



Abundances from APOGEE

- The APOKASC collaboration will get H-band spectra for ~10,000 stars in the Kepler field
 - 8,000 red giants and red clump stars
 - -> 1,000 already observed
 - 1,000 asteroseismic dwarfs
 - 1,000 dwarfs with rotation ages
- In addition, ~300 CoRoT targets will be observed

Along the Solar Circle



Thick Disk



Seismic Ages -- complementary

- Accurate distances from Gaia will be very useful for increasing the number of stars with wellmeasured ages
- Ages are determined from the mass which is derived from the seismic frequencies
- Measuring frequencies accurately depends on brightness of star, not distance
- Ages also independent of reddening
- Different systematic dependence on stellar models

Conclusions

- Radial gradient flattens with increasing Z
- Vertical metallicity gradient
 - Consistent with transition from "thin" to "thick" disk
 - Inconsistent with Galactic models that are tuned to match GCS
- Understanding the formation and evolution of the disk requires accurate ages
- Poised to make large advances in this area!