Radially anisotropic systems with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces – II: radial-orbit instability

Pierfrancesco Di Cintio,^{1,2,3}* Luca Ciotti⁴ and Carlo Nipoti⁴

¹Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Fisica Applicata 'Nello Carrara' via Madonna del piano 10, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze and Centro Studi Dinamiche Complesse, via Sansone 1, I-50022 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy ³INFN – Sezione di Firenze, via Sansone 1, I-50022 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

⁴Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, viale Berti-Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

Accepted 2017 March 7. Received 2017 March 7; in original form 2016 December 7

ABSTRACT

We continue to investigate the dynamics of collisionless systems of particles interacting via additive $r^{-\alpha}$ interparticle forces. Here we focus on the dependence of the radial-orbit instability on the force exponent α . By means of direct *N*-body simulations, we study the stability of equilibrium radially anisotropic Osipkov–Merritt spherical models with Hernquist density profile and with $1 \le \alpha < 3$. We determine, as a function of α , the minimum value for stability of the anisotropy radius r_{as} and of the maximum value of the associated stability indicator ξ_s . We find that for decreasing α , r_{as} decreases and ξ_s increases, i.e. longer range forces are more robust against radial-orbit instability. The isotropic systems are found to be stable for all the explored values of α . The end products of unstable systems are all markedly triaxial with minor-to-major axial ratio >0.3, so they are never flatter than an E7 system.

Key words: gravitation – methods: numerical – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics.

1 INTRODUCTION

Self-gravitating collisionless systems with equilibrium initial conditions characterized by a large degree of radial anisotropy (i.e. when a significant fraction of the system's kinetic energy is stored in lowangular momentum orbits) are known to be violently unstable. Such instability is commonly referred to as *radial-orbit instability* (hereafter ROI, e.g. see Polyachenko 1992; Binney & Tremaine 2008; Maréchal & Perez 2011; Bertin 2014).

The importance of the ROI for the dynamics of elliptical galaxies is evident. For example, the ROI has been invoked as the physical mechanism responsible for the origin of the triaxiality of elliptical galaxies (see e.g. Aguilar & Merritt 1990; Theis & Spurzem 1999; Bellovary et al. 2008; Pakter, Marcos & Levin 2013; Gajda, Łokas & Wojtak 2015; Sylos Labini, Benhaiem & Joyce 2015; Worrakitpoonpon 2015; Benhaiem et al. 2016). In the context of the study of the origin of the scaling laws of elliptical galaxies, Nipoti, Londrillo & Ciotti (2002) investigated the implications of the ROI on the thinness and tilt of the Fundamental Plane (Djorgovski & Davis 1987; Dressler et al. 1987). Remarkably, N-body simulations, confirming a conjecture proposed by Ciotti & Lanzoni (1997), revealed that the whole tilt of the Fundamental Plane cannot be explained invoking a systematic increase of radial-orbital anisotropy with mass, due to the limits imposed by stability requirements. We finally note that in Newtonian gravity, numerical simulations show that the presence of a spherical dark matter halo has a mild stabilizing effect against

doi:10.1093/mnras/stx600

Notwithstanding the great importance in astrophysics, and the vast amount of work done on this subject (e.g. see Polyachenko & Shukhman 1981; Merritt & Aguilar 1985; Palmer & Papaloizou 1987; Saha 1991; Bertin et al. 1994; Cincotta, Nunez & Muzzio 1996; Perez & Aly 1996; Meza & Zamorano 1997; Maréchal & Perez 2010; Polyachenko & Shukhman 2015), a fully satisfactory understanding of the ROI has not been reached yet, even though some results are now well established. In fact, the degree of anisotropy of a spherical model can be quantified by the so-called Fridman–Polyachenko–Shukhman stability indicator (Fridman & Polyachenko 1984)

$$\xi = \frac{2K_{\rm r}}{K_{\rm t}},\tag{1}$$

where K_r and $K_t = K_\theta + K_\phi$ are the radial and tangential components of the kinetic energy tensor, respectively. The main result is that, albeit with some dependence on the specific equilibrium model, for $\xi > \xi_s \simeq 1.5 \pm 0.2$, the systems are unstable. Unfortunately, it is not clear how much the ROI depends on the density profile of the initial equilibrium configuration, and, at fixed ξ , on the anisotropy profile.

Of course, even less is known in the case of alternative theories of gravity where the force differs from the Newtonian $1/r^2$ law. Among others, we recall the Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND; see e.g. Milgrom 1983, Bekenstein & Milgrom 1984), the Modified Gravity (see e.g. Moffat 2006; Moffat & Rahvar 2013), the Emergent Gravity (see e.g. Verlinde 2016) and the so-called f(R)

ROI (Stiavelli & Sparke 1991; Meza & Zamorano 1997; Nipoti et al. 2002).

^{*} E-mail: p.dicintio@ifac.cnr.it

gravities (see e.g. Buchdahl 1970; Sotiriou & Faraoni 2010; Zhao, Li & Koyama 2011).

In the context of MOND, for example, it is natural to ask whether radially anisotropic MOND systems are more or less prone to the ROI than their equivalent Newtonian systems¹ (ENSs). Nipoti, Ciotti & Londrillo (2011, hereafter NCL11) found that, on one hand, MOND systems are always more likely to undergo ROI than their ENSs. On the other hand, MOND systems are able to support a larger amount of kinetic energy stored in radial-orbits than onecomponent Newtonian systems with the same baryonic (i.e. total) density distribution.

Here we extend the study of the ROI to the case of a family of radially anisotropic (Hernquist 1990) models with additive interparticle $r^{-\alpha}$ forces with $1 \le \alpha < 3$. In this investigation, we limit for simplicity to the force exponents in the range $1 \le \alpha < 3$. In fact, this range spans the relevant cases of forces with an exponent larger and smaller than 2, and also corresponding to the MOND-like case ($\alpha = 1$). We also note that a scale-free force law is also expected in the weak field limit of some of the theories mentioned above (see e.g. Capozziello et al. 2004, 2017; Zakharov et al. 2006).

Note that the study of attractive $r^{-\alpha}$ interparticle forces is not new, as it can be traced back to Newton's *Principia* (e.g. see Chandrasekhar 1995). Relatively recently, Ispolatov & Cohen (2001a,b) studied the dynamical phase transitions in systems with $r^{-\alpha}$ interactions undergoing violent relaxation (Lynden-Bell 1967), and Iguchi (2002) and Marcos, Gabrielli & Joyce (2012) investigated the existence and stability of the stationary states of such systems (see also Bouchet, Gupta & Mukamel 2010, Levin et al. 2014, and references therein), while Chavanis (2013) developed a kinetic theory for generalized power-law interactions. More recently, Chiron & Marcos (2016) and Marcos, Gabrielli & Joyce (2017) extended the original Chandrasekhar (1941, 1943) approach to quantify the dynamical friction force and evaluate collisional relaxation times in Newtonian systems to the case of $r^{-\alpha}$ forces.

Di Cintio & Ciotti (2011, hereafter DCC11) and Di Cintio, Ciotti & Nipoti (2013, hereafter DCCN13) studied the collisionless relaxation process and the end products of dissipationless collapses of initially cold and spherical systems of particles interacting via additive $r^{-\alpha}$ forces and characterized by different virial ratios. This approach allowed us to implement a simpler direct *N*-body code for the simulations of collapses for different force indices α and initial virial ratios at the cost of relatively longer computational time with respect to particle-mesh MOND simulations (Londrillo & Nipoti 2009). This study presents additional technical problems, because in the set-up of the initial conditions, we must recover the phase-space distribution function and check for its positivity as a function of the anisotropy radius and force exponent α . The analytical set-up of the initial conditions is presented in Di Cintio, Ciotti & Nipoti (2015, hereafter DCCN15).

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe the set-up of the initial conditions and introduce the quantities that we will use to check the stability of numerical models. In Section 3, we show the evolution of isotropic and marginally consistent radially anisotropic systems, and study the structural properties of their final states as functions of the force exponent α . In Section 4, we determine numerically the critical value of r_a and ξ for stability, and study the evolution and the end products of unstable anisotropic systems. The main results are finally summarized in Section 5.

2 NUMERICAL METHODS

2.1 Initial conditions

In line with previous studies (e.g. Meza & Zamorano 1997, Nipoti et al. 2002; NCL11; DCCN15), we consider the stability of spherical systems with Hernquist (1990) density profile

$$\rho(r) = \frac{Mr_{\rm c}}{2\pi r (r_{\rm c} + r)^3},$$
(2)

where M and r_c are the total mass and the core radius, respectively, and

$$M(r) = M \times \left(\frac{r}{r_{\rm c} + r}\right)^2 \tag{3}$$

is the cumulative mass profile.

In order to build initial conditions with a tunable degree of radial anisotropy, as required by our experiments, we adopt the standard Osipkov–Merritt (Osipkov 1979; Merritt 1985, hereafter OM) parametrization. It is easy to show that the integral inversion needed to recover the phase space distribution function (Eddington 1916; Binney & Tremaine 2008) is independent of the force law (while, of course, the potential is not); we already adopted the OM inversion to set up initial conditions in MOND (NCL11), and for $r^{-\alpha}$ forces (DCCN15). The anisotropic OM distribution function f(Q) for our systems is given by

$$f(Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}\pi^2} \int_Q^{Q_{\text{sup}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \rho_a}{\mathrm{d}\Phi^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\sqrt{\Phi - Q}},\tag{4}$$

where

$$Q = E + \frac{J^2}{2r_a^2}.$$
(5)

E and *J* are the particle's energy and angular momentum per unit mass, r_a is the anisotropy radius, and the augmented density is defined by

$$\rho_{\rm a}(r) = \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_{\rm a}^2}\right)\rho(r). \tag{6}$$

Note that we do not adopt the convention, common in Newtonian gravity, of using the relative potential and energy. We recall that the velocity–dispersion tensor is nearly isotropic inside r_a , and more and more radially anisotropic for increasing r. Therefore, small values of r_a correspond to more radially anisotropic systems, and to larger values of ξ .

For α -forces, the potential Φ , due to the spherically symmetric density² $\rho(r)$, is given by

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{2\pi G}{r} \int_0^\infty \rho(r') \frac{(r+r')^{3-\alpha} - |r-r'|^{3-\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)(3-\alpha)} r' dr'$$
(7)

² The general expressions of Φ for a generic distribution $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ is given in DCCN15 (equations 2.4–2.7; see also DCC11). For the density distribution in equation (2), when $1 < \alpha < 3$, it is possible to fix $\mathbf{x}_0 = \infty$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, while for $\alpha = 1$, we set $\mathbf{x}_0 = 0$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_0) = GM \times [1 + \ln(r_c/r_n)]$, therefore obtaining the expressions (7) and (8). We recall that for $1 < \alpha < 3$, $\Phi(0) = GM/r_c^{\alpha-1} \times 2B(3 - \alpha, \alpha)/(\alpha - 1)$, where B(x, y) is the complete Euler beta function (DCCN15).

¹ The ENS of a MOND system is a Newtonian system where the baryonic component has the same phase-space distribution as the parent MOND model. This requires the presence of a dark matter halo (which, in general, is not guaranteed to have positive density everywhere) in the ENS. Similarly, we could introduce the concept of equivalent $r^{-\alpha}$ system, and study the properties of the associated dark matter halo.



Figure 1. Left-hand panels: critical OM anisotropy radius for consistency of the Hernquist models, r_{ac} (top panel, in units of the half-mass radius r_*) and corresponding value of the stability indicator ξ_c (bottom panel) as functions of the force exponent α . Right-hand panels: critical OM anisotropy radius r_{as} for stability (top panel) and corresponding value of ξ_s (bottom panel) as functions of the force exponent α . Crosses show the critical value of ξ computed for particles within the half-mass radius r_* only.

for
$$1 < \alpha < 3$$
, and

$$\Phi(r) = \frac{\pi G}{r} \int_0^\infty \rho(r') \left[(r+r')^2 \ln\left(\frac{r+r'}{r_n}\right) - (r-r')^2 \ln\left(\frac{|r-r'|}{r_n}\right) - 2rr' \right] r' dr'$$
(8)

for $\alpha = 1$ (DCC11), where *G* is a dimensional coupling constant and r_n is the scalelength used to normalize interparticle distances. In our case, the natural choice is to use $r_n = r_c$. From equations (7) and (8), it is not difficult to show that in equation (4), $Q_{sup} = 0$ for $\alpha > 1$ and $Q_{sup} = \infty$ for $\alpha = 1$.

Since the maximum amount of radial anisotropy that a given system can sustain is limited by the phase-space consistency (i.e. positivity of the phase-space distribution; Ciotti & Pellegrini 1992; Ciotti 1996, 1999; Ciotti & Morganti 2009, 2010a,b; An 2011; An, Van Hese & Baes 2012), it is natural to investigate preliminarily how this limit depends on the force exponent α . In fact, in DCCN15, we determined, for the family of OM anisotropic Hernquist models, the minimum value, r_{ac} , and the associated maximum value of the stability indicator, ξ_c , for phase-space consistency. In Fig. 1 (left-hand panels), we show these quantities, and their numerical values are given in Table 1 (columns 2 and 3).

As described in in DCCN15, it turns out that r_{ac} increases with α , and ξ_c correspondingly decreases, i.e. high values of α ('short-range' forces) lead to phase-space inconsistency in more isotropic models than low values of α ('long-range' forces). In practice, for given α , only models with r_a above r_{ac} and ξ below ξ_c are characterized by a nowhere negative f(Q). Therefore, at a fixed density profile, systems with a lower α can sustain a larger amount of radial OM anisotropy.

Once the phase-space distribution function f(Q) is computed, the radial coordinate for the N particles of mass m = M/N is extracted from equation (3). The angular coordinates ϑ and φ are randomly assigned to each particle sampling $\cos \vartheta$ from a uniform distribution in (-1,1), and φ from a uniform distribution in $(0, 2\pi)$. Then, the potential $\Phi(r)$ is numerically evaluated from equations (7) and (8)

α	$r_{\rm ac}/r_*$	ξc	ξfin	$(c/a)_{\rm fin}$	$r_{\rm as}/r_*$	ξs	ξhalf, s
1.0	0.064	39.3	4.23	0.28	0.58	3.87	1.59
1.1	0.065	25.6	3.77	0.27	0.67	3.18	1.63
1.2	0.066	20.2	3.54	0.26	0.78	2.76	1.49
1.3	0.067	17.6	3.29	0.27	0.82	2.59	1.39
1.4	0.068	15.7	3.03	0.28	0.85	2.15	1.33
1.5	0.069	13.5	2.87	0.28	0.80	1.86	1.37
1.6	0.071	12.8	2.66	0.29	0.83	1.83	1.34
1.7	0.074	11.4	2.52	0.31	0.86	1.80	1.33
1.8	0.076	10.4	2.40	0.33	0.83	1.78	1.35
1.9	0.078	8.95	2.23	0.36	0.81	1.77	1.30
2.0	0.080	8.23	2.11	0.36	0.82	1.71	1.31
2.1	0.084	6.70	1.99	0.40	0.87	1.59	1.24
2.2	0.089	5.83	1.82	0.45	0.91	1.44	1.22
2.3	0.100	4.64	1.75	0.47	1.01	1.33	1.18
2.4	0.105	4.06	1.59	0.42	1.10	1.27	1.15
2.5	0.125	3.44	1.33	0.56	1.19	1.20	1.09

at the position of each particle. Following Nipoti et al. (2002) and DCCN15, we construct the dimensionless vector $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$, with components sampled from a uniform distribution in (-1,1), rejecting the triplets with $||\boldsymbol{u}|| > 1$. The putative physical velocity components are then defined as

$$v_{\rm r} = \sqrt{2|\Phi|}u_1, \quad v_{\vartheta} = \frac{\sqrt{2|\Phi|}u_2}{\sqrt{1+r^2/r_{\rm a}^2}}, \quad v_{\varphi} = \frac{\sqrt{2|\Phi|}u_3}{\sqrt{1+r^2/r_{\rm a}^2}}.$$
 (9)

At this point, equation (4) is evaluated with $Q = (1 - u^2)\Phi$. Applying the von Neumann rejection method, if $f(Q) \ge f(\Phi)$, then the velocity vector $(v_r, v_{\vartheta}, v_{\varphi})$ is accepted. Otherwise, the velocity is discarded and the procedure repeats.

2.2 The numerical code

For the numerical simulations, we use our direct *N*-body code, already tested and employed to simulate dissipationless collapses of cold systems interacting with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces (DCCN13). In order to compare the results obtained for different values of α , we define the time-scale t_* from the dimensionless ratio

$$\frac{GMt_*^2}{2r_*^{\alpha+1}} = 1,$$
(10)

where the normalization length-scale $r_* \equiv (1 + \sqrt{2})r_c$ is the halfmass radius of the initial density distribution. The natural velocity scale is then obtained as

$$v_* \equiv \frac{r_*}{t_*}.\tag{11}$$

The physical scales r_* and t_* are used to recast the equations of motion in dimensionless form (DCCN13), which are integrated with a standard second-order symplectic integrator (see also e.g. Grubmüller et al. 1991). The fixed time-step Δt ranges from \simeq $t_*/80$ for $\alpha = 1$ to $\simeq t_*/250$ for $\alpha = 2.9$. The divergence in the force and potential at vanishing interparticle separation is prevented by introducing the softening length ϵ , so that $r \rightarrow \sqrt{r^2 + \epsilon^2}$ in the potential. The value of ϵ is chosen as a function of α so that the softened force on a particle placed at $\simeq 5r_*$ from the centre of mass of the system differs by less than 0.01 per cent from the unsoftened force (see DCCN13 and DCCN15 for a discussion). For the simulations in this work, ϵ increases from $10^{-3}r_c$ for $\alpha = 1$ up to $6.5 \times 10^{-2}r_c$ for $\alpha = 2.9$. With such a combination of parameters, the energy conservation is ensured up to one part in 10^5 at the end of the simulation.

All the simulations use $N = 20\,000$ particles and were run up to $40t_*$ on an Intel®Xeon E5/Core i7 Unix cluster, each simulation taking roughly 80 h on a single processor. We performed additional simulations with different number of particles (from $N = 15\,000$ up to 30 000) for fixed values of α and r_a , finding that the main results are unchanged.

In the numerical simulations, the perturbation responsible for triggering the instability is the numerical noise produced by discreteness effects in the initial conditions plus the round-off error in the orbit integration.

2.3 Diagnostics

As indicators of the instability and subsequent relaxation of the models, we monitor the time evolution of the stability indicator ξ , of the virial ratio 2K/|W| (where K is the total kinetic energy and W is the virial function), of the minimum-to-maximum axial ratio c/a and of the Lagrangian radii r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} (i.e. radii enclosing 5 per cent, 50 per cent and 90 per cent of the total mass M, respectively).

As it is clear from equation (1), a proper definition of ξ can be given only for spherical systems. However, unstable systems do not remain spherically symmetric, therefore we need to introduce a more general definition of ξ that can be applied also during the evolution of unstable systems, and that reduces to the standard definition in the spherical case. In order to define the fiducial radial and tangential kinetic energies K_r and K_t , which are evaluated at each time-step, we proceed as follows: First, the position \mathbf{x}_i and velocity \mathbf{v}_i of each particle are referred to the centre of mass of the system as $\mathbf{x}_i^c = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{cm}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i^c = \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_{cm}$, where \mathbf{x}_{cm} and \mathbf{v}_{cm} are, respectively, the instantaneous position and velocity of the centre of mass as numerically determined by the simulation. Then, for each particle, the radial velocity component is obtained as $v_{ri} = \mathbf{v}_i^c \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$, where $\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^c / ||\mathbf{x}_i^c||$ is the radial versor. Therefore, $K_r = \sum_i m v_r^2/2$ and $K_t = K - K_r$.

For what concerns the virial ratio, we recall that the virial function *W* is given by

$$W = \begin{cases} -\int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \langle \mathbf{x}, \nabla \Phi \rangle \mathrm{d}^{3} \mathbf{x}, \\ \sum_{\substack{j \neq i=1}}^{N} m_{i} \langle \mathbf{x}_{i}, \mathbf{a}_{ji} \rangle, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where the first expression holds for a continuum density ρ , and the second holds for a discrete system of N particles with masses m_i , where

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{ji} = -Gm_j \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j}{||\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j||^{\alpha+1}}$$
(13)

is the acceleration at the position of particle *i* due to particle *j*. For $\alpha \neq 1$, the virial function *W* is related to the potential energy *U* of the system (provided *U* converges for the specific density distribution under consideration) by the identity

$$W = (\alpha - 1)U, \tag{14}$$

where

$$U = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{x}, \\ \sum_{i \neq j=1}^N m_i \Phi_{ji}, \end{cases}$$
(15)

and again the first expression holds in the continuum case, while the second holds for a system of particles, and Φ_{ji} is the potential at the position of particle *i* due to particle *j*.

Remarkably, for $\alpha = 1$ (as for systems in deep-MOND regime; Nipoti, Londrillo & Ciotti 2007, see also Gerhard & Spergel 1992), *W* is independent of time, being

$$W = -\frac{G}{2} \begin{cases} M^2, \\ \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N} m_i m_j, \end{cases}$$
(16)

and again the first expression holds for a continuum distribution, while the second holds for a system of particles.

For what concerns the time evolution of the axial ratio, at a given time-step, the code computes the second-order tensor

$$I_{ij} \equiv \sum_{k} m_{k} r_{i}^{(k)} r_{j}^{(k)},$$
(17)

where the sum is limited to the particles inside the sphere of Lagrangian radii r_{90} , r_{70} and r_{50} , (i.e. the radius of the sphere containing 90 per cent, 70 per cent and 50 per cent of the total mass of the system, respectively). Note that in terms of I_{ij} , the inertia tensor is given by $\text{Tr}(I_{ij})\delta_{ij} - I_{ij}$. The matrix I_{ij} is iteratively diagonalized, with tolerance set to 0.1 per cent, to compute the three eigenvalues $I_{11} \ge I_{22} \ge I_{33}$. For a heterogeneous ellipsoid with density stratified over concentric and coaxial ellipsoidal surfaces of semiaxes $a \ge b \ge c$, we would obtain $I_{11} = Aa^2$, $I_{22} = Ab^2$ and $I_{33} = Ac^2$, where *A* is a constant depending on the density profile. Accordingly, we define the fiducial axial ratios $b/a = \sqrt{I_{22}/I_{11}}$ and $c/a = \sqrt{I_{33}/I_{11}}$, so that the ellipticities in the principal planes are $\epsilon_1 = 1 - \sqrt{I_{22}/I_{11}}$ and $\epsilon_2 = 1 - \sqrt{I_{33}/I_{11}}$. In the following, we focus our attention only on the c/a ratio, corresponding to the largest deviation from sphericity.

Finally, for all simulations, we also consider the evolution of the differential energy distribution n(E), a useful diagnostic in the study of stellar systems (see van Albada 1982; Binney 1982; Ciotti 1991; Trenti & Bertin 2006; DCC11; DCCN13). n(E) is defined by the relation

$$\int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} n(E) \mathrm{d}E = N, \tag{18}$$

where the extremes of integration E_{min} and E_{max} are the minimum and maximum energies attained by the particles, respectively.

The problem of determining the (numerical) stability of a given anisotropic model is a delicate one. As a heuristic criterion, for systems not showing any appreciable evolution of ξ and 2K/|W|, we have determined first as a function of α the time average $m_{c/a}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma_{c/a}$ of c/a for the *isotropic* models over $40t_*$. Typically, for the models presented here, $m_{c/a} \approx 0.97$ and $\sigma_{c/a}$ $\approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, with a very weak dependence on α . Then, in order to determine whether a given model is prone to ROI, we monitor the time evolution of its axial ratio c/a, and check if its value averaged over the last $20\Delta t$ falls below the value of $m_{c/a} - \sigma_{c/a}$.



Figure 2. From top to bottom panels: evolution of the stability indicator ξ , of the virial ratio 2K/|W|, of the c/a ratio and of the Lagrangian radii r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} for the isotropic (left-hand panels) and maximally anisotropic (right-hand panels) Hernquist models. Lines corresponding to $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5 are indicated with different colours.

3 PRELIMINARY EXPERIMENTS

3.1 Stability of isotropic models

Being interested in the stability of anisotropic systems, the first natural question to address is to check whether the isotropic systems are stable for different values of α . In the case of Newtonian force, analytical stability results are available for the isotropic case, and it is known that phase-space distribution functions with $df(E)/dE \le 0$ correspond to stable systems (the so-called Antonov theorem; see e.g. Binney & Tremaine 2008); moreover, it has been conjectured that models prone to ROI are characterized by non-monotonic f(O)(e.g. see Hjorth 1994, see also Meza & Zamorano 1997; Binney & Tremaine 2008). When $\alpha \neq 2$, at the best of our knowledge, there are no analytical results even in the isotropic case, so we must test the stability of isotropic systems by using N-body simulations. Interestingly, we found that for all the explored values of α , isotropic Hernquist models are always associated with monotonic distribution functions and are clearly stable. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 2 (left-hand panel), where we show, for some representative values of α , the evolution of the anisotropy parameter ξ , of the virial ratio 2K/|W|, of the axial ratio c/a, and finally, of the Lagrangian radii r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} . It is apparent that the equilibrium of the initial conditions is preserved for all the considered values of α over the entire simulation up to $t = 40t_*$. The fluctuations are of the order of $\simeq 1$ per cent in ξ and 2K/|W|, of $\simeq 5$ per cent in c/a, and of \simeq 3 per cent in the Lagrangian radii. It follows that the isotropic Hernquist models are numerically stable for $1 \le \alpha < 3$. Remarkably, NCL11 found that isotropic MOND systems are also stable.

The stability of the isotropic systems is confirmed also by Fig. 3 (left-hand panel), where we compare the final angle-averaged density profile with the initial profile given by equation (2). The initial density profile is preserved down to $r/r_* \simeq 0.025$ in the best case ($\alpha = 1.5$) and to $r/r_* \simeq 0.2$ in the worst case ($\alpha = 2.5$). The



Figure 3. Angle-averaged three-dimensional (normalized) density profile at the final time $t = 40t_*$ for isotropic (left-hand panel) and maximally anisotropic (right-hand panel) Hernquist initial conditions with $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5. In both panels, the heavy solid line represents the analytic Hernquist profile, and the density scale in units of $\rho_* = \rho(r_*)$ is the density value of the initial condition at the half-mass radius $r_* = (1 + \sqrt{2})r_c$. The vertical dashed lines mark the value of the softening length ϵ used in the simulations.

softening length (in units of r_*), which fixes the spatial resolution of the simulation, is $\epsilon = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$, 1.4×10^{-2} , 2.7×10^{-2} and 4.5×10^{-2} for $\alpha = 1$, 1.5, 2 and 2.5, respectively.

3.2 Maximally anisotropic models

In a second set of preparatory numerical experiments, we study the evolution of the maximally anisotropic equilibrium models. These marginally consistent models are characterized by the OM phase-space distribution function f(Q) constructed with $r_a \simeq r_{ac}$ (i.e. such that a smaller value of r_a would produce a negative f(Q) for some admissible value of Q; see e.g. fig. 1 in DCCN15), and are therefore associated with the maximum value of the stability indicator ξ_c .



Figure 4. Differential energy distribution n(E)/N of the initial conditions (solid lines), and at a selection of times (dashed lines), for maximally anisotropic systems with $\alpha = 1.0, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5. For each value of the force index, the normalization energy is $E_* = |\Phi(0)|$ as given in footnote 2.

In Fig. 2 (right-hand panel), we show the evolution of ξ , 2K/|W|, c/a and r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} up to $40t_*$ for $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5 and $\xi_c \simeq 39$, 13.5, 8.2 and 3.4, respectively. As expected, the models appear to be violently unstable. From the evolution of the stability indicator ξ , it appears that for all values of the force exponent α , the models rapidly (i.e. within $\simeq t_*$) reduce their degree of anisotropy. The virial ratio 2K/|W|, with the exception of the $\alpha = 1.5$ case, shows a dramatic increase with a peak at $\simeq 0.8t_*$ and then relaxes back to unity within a few t_* , a well-known feature of violent relaxation. Note, however, that low-amplitude oscillations last over all the simulation times (cf. the left-hand and right-hand panels of the virial ratio in Fig. 2), and that, in general, models with a low value of α oscillate for longer times in units of t_* , as already found in the MOND case (similar to the $\alpha = 1$ model; NCL11), and for simulations of collapses in DCCN13. The reason will be briefly recalled in Section 5.

The axial ratio c/a (shown in Fig. 2, restricting to particles within r_{90} in order to avoid numerical noise due to a few escapers) also experiences a rapid decrease with the same time-scale (and with the same dependence on α) of the other properties. Note that a robust measure of c/a is quite difficult especially in the case of high- α values: As already found in the case of collapses after relaxation, such systems produce a characteristic 'core-halo' structure with some fraction of their mass (of the order of 5 per cent) ejected at large distances, but still bound to the main body of the stellar system (DCCN13). In case some of the halo particles belong to the set particles adopted to compute c/a, this leads to secular variation of the axial ratio itself. This can be seen in the last panel of Fig. 2 where the Lagrangian radii do not present significant evolution except for r_{90} and $\alpha = 2.5$, with a slow but systematic increase with time, i.e. a consequence of the expansion of the outer regions of the system.

The final values of ξ and c/a at $t/t_* = 40$ for the maximally anisotropic models for $1 \le \alpha \le 2.5$ are given in Table 1 (columns 4 and 5). Note that the end products are less and less anisotropic for increasing α , reflecting the trend of the initial conditions. Moreover, the variation of the value of ξ , a measure of the redistribution of kinetic energy between radial and tangential motions, decreases for increasing α . This trend is also confirmed by a few test simulations (not shown here) with α as large as 2.9. Curiously, at variance with the quite significant dependence on α of the previous quantities, the final values of the axial ratios are not strongly dependent on α with $(c/a)_{\text{fin}}$ ranging from $\simeq 0.28$ for $\alpha = 1$ to 0.56 for $\alpha = 2.5$ (Table 1, column 5). The maximum value of the final axial ratio, $\simeq 0.7$, has been obtained for the case $\alpha = 2.9$. Therefore, the end products are less flattened for large values of α , and, remarkably, no system is found to be significantly flatter than an E7 galaxy³, similarly to what was found by DCCN13 for the end products of cold collapses with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces.

Additional information about the structure of the end products is obtained by inspection of their three-dimensional angle-averaged density profiles $\langle \rho \rangle$. In Fig. 3 (right-hand panel), we show $\langle \rho \rangle$ at $t = 40t_*$ for the maximally anisotropic initial conditions. Interestingly, when excluding a central region where softening effects are important, the averaged density profiles do not present significant departures from the initial Hernquist density profile (see Fig. 3, right-hand panel, solid lines). The only significant feature is associated with the model with $\alpha = 2.5$ where a clearly detectable overdensity above the initial profile is present in the range $10 < r/r_* < 100$: This is a consequence of the already mentioned core-halo structure characteristic of the final configurations of models with high values of α , and, in fact, this feature becomes more prominent for even larger values of α (not shown here).

In Fig. 4, we present the evolution of the differential energy distribution n(E) for the maximally anisotropic models with $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5. For the low- α cases, there is little or no variation in n(E)(dashed lines) with respect to the initial state (solid lines). For larger values of the force exponent, the variations from the initial n(E) are apparent. A few per cent of the initial mass in these systems attains a positive energy and escapes after the violent phase in which the ROI takes place (Trenti, Bertin & van Albada 2005). Remarkably, the behaviour of n(E) of violently unstable anisotropic models is also strongly resemblant to what found in cold dissipationless collapses with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces. For example, for low values of α , also in DCCN13, the n(E) of the end products was found to depart weakly from that of the initial state.

We conclude by noticing that in the context of Newtonian gravity, it has been speculated (see e.g. Efthymiopoulos

³ We note that cold and oblate expanding ellipsoids of charged plasma become prolate at large times with axial ratios limited at c/a > 0.86. The reasons are, however, different from instability (see Grech et al. 2011; Di Cintio 2014).

& Voglis 2001; Barnes et al. 2005; MacMillan, Widrow & Henriksen 2006; Efthymiopoulos, Voglis & Kalapotharakos 2007, see also Voglis 1994) that n(E) is connected to the form of the *circularized* density profile, and, if the latter does not change significantly during the process of virialization, n(E) of the final state should not deviate significantly from that of the initial condition. The present findings appear to support this conjecture, as the only models showing significant evolution of n(E) are those with circularized final density profiles that depart more from the initial profile, i.e. those with high α .

4 RESULTS

4.1 Critical r_{as} and ξ_s for stability

After having assessed the stability of isotropic and maximally anisotropic systems, we are now in position to determine numerically, as a function of the force exponent α , the minimum value of r_a for which the system is stable. We call this critical value r_{as} , and once r_{as} is determined, we compute the value of the associated stability indicator ξ_s . This is one of the main focuses of this paper.

For each of the 16 different values of the force exponent in the range $1 \le \alpha \le 2.5$ (see Table 1), we performed several runs with initial conditions characterized by $r_a \ge r_{ac}(\alpha)$. Moreover, we also explored the behaviour of a few additional models with $2.5 \le \alpha \le 2.9$, which confirmed the trends shown in Table 1. In practice, for each value of α , we started with models corresponding to $r_a = r_{ac}$ (the violently unstable models described in Section 3) and we systematically increased the value of r_a up to a fiducial value for which the model remains stable over the simulations time $40t_*$. We then refined the determination of the critical value of r_a by bisection around the successive approximations of r_{as} , and the values reported in Table 1 give the numerical value of r_{as} within ± 0.01 : For example, for $\alpha = 2$, the true value of r_{as} is numerically found between 0.81 and 0.83.

Fig. 1 (top right-hand panel) shows r_{as} as a function of α . We find that r_{as} increases monotonically for increasing α , showing, however, a curious plateau in the range $1.4 \leq \alpha \leq 2$. The trend of ξ_s with α shown in Fig. 1 (bottom right-hand panel) and the corresponding values are given in Table 1. The behaviour of ξ_s nicely mirrors that of r_{as} , with ξ_s decreasing for increasing α . Again, the plateau is clearly visible. For the Newtonian case ($\alpha = 2$), we recover the well-known result $\xi_s \simeq 1.7$ (corresponding to $r_{as} \simeq 0.8r_*$; see e.g. the review by Maréchal & Perez 2011 and references therein).

Therefore, we conclude that equilibrium configurations in the presence of forces with low values of α (i.e. forces 'longer ranged' than Newtonian gravity) are able to support a larger amount of kinetic energy stored in radial-orbits than systems with a larger force exponent α . This trend of a greater stability for decreasing α is consistent with the special nature of systems with particles interacting with the harmonic oscillator force ($\alpha = -1$) where instabilities are impossible (Lynden-Bell & Lynden-Bell 2004; DCCN13). As it is well known when $\alpha = -1$, each particle, independently of the position of the others, oscillates in a time-independent harmonic oscillator field produced by a fixed point mass placed at the barycentre of the system and with a mass equal to the total mass of the system. It follows that no instabilities can develop. We interpret the numerical results of our simulations for decreasing α as the natural trend towards this behaviour. It is reasonable to expect that when entering in the superharmonic regime ($\alpha < -1$, not explored in this paper), collective phenomena will take place again, similarly to what is found in our collapse simulations (DCCN13).

In line with these results, we recall that NCL11 also found a higher value of ξ_s ($\simeq 2.32$) for the OM Hernquist model in MOND than for its Newtonian counterpart without dark matter ($\simeq 1.64$). As can be seen from Table 1, ξ_s for the $\alpha = 1$ force (qualitatively similar to the deep MOND case) is larger than for $\alpha = 2$. However, the analogy between additive $\alpha = 1$ force and the deep MOND case is not (as expected) complete. In fact, NCL11 also found, at variance with the present results for $\alpha = 1$, that r_{as} for the OM radially anisotropic Hernquist model in MOND is *larger* than r_{as} for the corresponding one-component Newtonian system without dark matter. This different behaviour is due to the fact that the internal distribution of radial orbits is different in the two force laws, so a MOND model with larger r_a than a Newtonian model does not necessarily have a lower ξ .

We note that it has been suggested that the ROI in Newtonian systems is triggered by particles with orbital frequencies close to satisfying the condition $\Omega_P \equiv 2\Omega_v - \Omega_r \simeq 0$, where Ω_v is the azimuthal frequency, Ω_r is the radial frequency and Ω_P is the precession frequency (Palmer & Papaloizou 1987; Palmer 1994a,b). Once a small non-spherical density perturbation is formed in a system dominated by low Ω_P orbits, it will grow more and more, as more and more particles tend to accumulate to it. In this interpretaion, the time-scale of the ROI therefore depends on the distribution of Ω_P , and it is clear that models with fixed density profiles but different $r^{-\alpha}$ forces have different radial distributions of the precession frequencies. However, this investigation is well beyond the scope of this paper.

Following NCL11 and Gajda et al. (2015), we also computed the value of the critical anisotropy parameter for stability when restricting to particles within the half-mass radius r_* . We call this quantity $\xi_{\text{half, s}}$ (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). Not unexpectedly, the value of $\xi_{\text{half, s}}$ changes with α much less than ξ_s : This is a consequence of the radial trend of OM anisotropy, as summarized after equation (6). Such result hints that a big enough, almost isotropic core could, in principle, stabilize against ROI a model with substantial radial anisotropy in the external regions, as found by Trenti & Bertin (2006) in case of cold dissipationless collapses.

4.2 Evolution and end products of unstable models

Here we focus on the evolution of models with $\xi_s < \xi(0) < \xi_c$, which are unstable but not maximally anisotropic. As we have previously done for isotropic and maximally anisotropic models, for these unstable models, we have monitored the evolution of the stability indicator ξ , of the virial ratio 2K/|W|, of the axial ratio c/aand of the three Lagrangian radii r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} . These quantities are plotted in Fig. 5 as functions of time (up to $40t_*$) for models with initial anisotropy parameters $\xi(0) = 2$ (left-hand panels) and 3 (right-hand panels), and $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5. For all these models, the virial ratio and the Lagrangian radii do not show any significant change at late times, with the exception of the models with $\alpha = 2.5$ that show a systematic drift with time of r_{90} due to escaping particles (see also Section 3.2). From the evolution of ξ and c/a, it is apparent that at fixed $\xi(0)$, models with higher α take less time (in units of t_*) to develop the ROI. For the models shown in Fig. 5, the time t_{ROI} at which the instability sets in (defined as the time when the system departs significantly from the spherical symmetry; see Section 2.3) is never found to exceed $\simeq 7t_*$. However, unstable models with lower values of $\xi(0)$ are characterized by larger values of t_{ROI} , up to $20t_*$.

Fig. 6 shows for four relevant values of $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5 the final axial ratio $(c/a)_{\text{fin}}$ as a function of both $\xi(0)$ and r_a/r_* . For similar values of $\xi(0)$, the end products of models with larger α tend



Figure 5. From top to bottom panels: evolution of the stability indicator ξ , of the virial ratio 2K/|W|, of the *c/a* ratio and of the Lagrangian radii r_5 , r_{50} and r_{90} for the anisotropic Hernquist models with $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5 and initial value of the anisotropy parameter $\xi(0) = 2$ (left-hand panels) and 3 (right-hand panels). The stable cases for $\xi(0) = 2$, and $\alpha = 1, 1.5, and$ for $\xi(0) = 3$ and $\alpha = 1$, are clearly visible.



Figure 6. Final axial ratios $(c/a)_{\text{fin}}$ within r_{90} versus the initial value of the stability indicator ξ (left-hand panel), and the normalized initial anisotropy radius r_a/r_* (right-hand panel) for $\alpha = 1, 1.5, 2$ and 2.5. The horizontal dashed line just marks the typical fiducial value of the final axial ratio c/a for stability. It appears clear, from both indicators (r_a and ξ), that the models with larger values of α are more prone to the ROI.

to be more flattened, while, as a general trend, for fixed α , models with smaller r_a/r_* lead to more flattened end products, consistent with the results of Newtonian simulations (see e.g. Perez et al. 1996; Meza & Zamorano 1997; Nipoti, Londrillo & Ciotti 2002; Barnes, Lanzel & Williams 2009; NCL11). Interestingly, such behaviour is reminiscent of the trend of $(c/a)_{fin}$ with the initial virial ratio 2K/|W| found in DCCN13 for cold collapses with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces: The end states of systems with lower initial virial ratio are more flattened. The physical origin of the findings of DCCN13 and of the present work is the same: Collapses with colder initial conditions are more dominated by radial-orbits and therefore more affected by the ROI.

We note that for the maximally anisotropic models, the final values of the stability indicator ξ_{fin} are systematically larger than ξ_s , as observed also for the end products of collapses dominated by

radial orbits (see e.g. Trenti et al. 2005; Efthymiopoulos et al. 2007). The reason for this is that such models have rather flattened end states (therefore not described by OM distribution functions), and considerations on the maximum amount of anisotropy for stability for spherical models cannot be applied to non-spherical systems.

As we have observed for the end states of maximally anisotropic models, the averaged final density profile of unstable models does not depart significantly from the initial density profile. Consistently, the differential energy distribution n(E) shows little to no evolution for such unstable systems (data not shown here).

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the follow-up of a preliminary investigation (DCCN15), we have studied the onset of ROI in equilibrium Hernquist models with OM radial anisotropy and additive interparticle forces of the form $r^{-\alpha}$, with $1 \le \alpha < 3$. The aim of this work is to elucidate how the main properties of the ROI, routinely studied in the $\alpha = 2$ case, depend on the force exponent α , a measure of the 'force range'. For the simulations, we adopted our well-tested direct *N*-body code, already employed for the study of cold collapses of self-gravitating systems with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces (DCCN13); the anisotropic equilibrium initial conditions have been constructed with the numerical inversion described in DCCN15. The main results are the following:

(i) We confirmed that for all the explored α , isotropic models are associated with a phase-space distribution function f(E) monotonic in terms of energy, and are numerically stable (DCCN15). Therefore, it is tempting to conjecture that the Antonov (1973) theorem (see e.g. Binney & Tremaine 2008) may hold not only in Newtonian gravity but more generally for $r^{-\alpha}$ forces.

(ii) Following DCCN15, we determined the minimum value of the anisotropy radius for phase-space consistency, r_{ac} , and the

associated value of the stability indicator, ξ_c , confirming the preliminary indications that r_{ac} increases and ξ_c decreases for increasing α . All marginally consistent models (i.e. models with ξ close to ξ_c) are violently unstable and are characterized by strongly triaxial end products. In general, the end products are more triaxial for lower values of α , with $(c/a)_{fin} \simeq 0.3$ for $\alpha = 1$ increasing to $(c/a)_{fin} \simeq 0.56$ for $\alpha = 2.5$. Remarkably, even the most triaxial end products are not more elongated than E7 systems, as already found for the end products of cold collapses with $r^{-\alpha}$ forces (DCCN13).

(iii) With *N*-body simulations, we determined the fiducial value r_{as} of the (minimum) critical anisotropy radius for stability and we found that its value increases with α . The corresponding critical value of the stability indicator ξ_s decreases for increasing α . For $\alpha = 2$, we found $\xi_s \simeq 1.7$ in agreement with previous works in Newtonian gravity. These results indicate that systems with high values of α can support smaller amounts of radial anisotropy than systems with similar density profiles but 'longer range' forces. This is also in agreement with the fact that systems with $\alpha = -1$ (harmonic oscillator interparticle force) cannot develop instabilities or relaxation phenomena.

(iv) For $\alpha = 1$ (MOND-like force), ξ_s is larger than the correspondent quantity for the same model in Newtonian gravity, similarly to what happens for the OM radially anisotropic Hernquist model in deep-MOND regime. However, the values of $r_{\rm as}$ and ξ_s in the $\alpha = 1$ and deep-MOND cases are quite different due to the different orbital distributions of the two models in their external regions.

(v) Mildly unstable models (i.e. models that are unstable but not as extreme as models with $\xi \approx \xi_c$) develop significant changes in c/a and ξ well within $15t_*$ and typically such systems relax in (say) $10t_*$. Not unexpectedly, the end products of all unstable models are less flattened than E7. In general, at fixed α , the flattening of the end products directly correlates with the value of ξ of the initial condition. Instead, the differential energy distribution n(E) remains qualitatively unaffected by the relaxation process, with the only exception of models with high values of α .

The results of the present investigation, and those of DCCN15, then lead us to conclude that ROI is a quite universal feature of collisionless systems with low global angular momentum, not restricted to the special nature of the r^{-2} force law.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The referee is warmly thanked for his/her insightful comments and useful suggestions. LC and CN acknowledge financial support from PRIN MIUR 2010-2011, project 'The Chemical and Dynamical evolution of the Milky Way and the Local Group Galaxies', prot. 2010LY5N2T. PDC was partially supported by the INFN project DYNSYSMATH2016.

REFERENCES

- Aguilar L. A., Merritt D., 1990, ApJ, 354, 33
- An J. H., 2011, ApJ, 736, 151
- An J., Van Hese E., Baes M., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 652
- Antonov V. A., 1973, in Omarov T. B., ed., Dynamics of Galaxies and Star Clusters. Nauka, Alma-Ata, USSR, p. 139
- Barnes E. I., Williams L. L. R., Babul A., Dalcanton J. J., 2005, ApJ, 634, 775
- Barnes E. I., Lanzel P. A., Williams L. L. R., 2009, ApJ, 704, 372
- Bekenstein J., Milgrom M., 1984, ApJ, 286, 7

- Bellovary J. M., Dalcanton J. J., Babul A., Quinn T. R., Maas R. W., Austin C. G., Williams L. L. R., Barnes E. I., 2008, ApJ, 685, 739
- Benhaiem D., Joyce M., Sylos Labini F., Worrakitpoonpon T., 2016, A&A, 585, A139
- Bertin G., 2014, Dynamics of Galaxies. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, NY
- Bertin G., Pegoraro F., Rubini F., Vesperini E., 1994, ApJ, 434, 94
- Binney J., 1982, MNRAS, 200, 951
- Binney J., Tremaine S., 2008, Galactic Dynamics, 2nd edn. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ
- Bouchet F., Gupta S., Mukamel D., 2010, Phys. A, 389, 4389
- Buchdahl H. A., 1970, MNRAS, 150, 1
- Capozziello S., Cardone V. F., Carloni S., Troisi A., 2004, Phys. Lett. A, 326, 292
- Capozziello S., Jovanović P., Borka Jovanović V., Borka D., 2017, preprint (arXiv:1702.03430)
- Chandrasekhar S., 1941, ApJ, 94, 511
- Chandrasekhar S., 1943, ApJ, 97, 255
- Chandrasekhar S., 1995, Newton's Principia for the Common Reader. Clarendon Press, Oxford
- Chavanis P.-H., 2013, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 128, 128
- Chiron D., Marcos B., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1601.00064)
- Cincotta P. M., Nunez J. A., Muzzio J. C., 1996, ApJ, 456, 274
- Ciotti L., 1991, A&A, 249, 99
- Ciotti L., 1996, ApJ, 471, 68
- Ciotti L., 1999, ApJ, 520, 574
- Ciotti L., Lanzoni B., 1997, A&A, 321, 724
- Ciotti L., Morganti L., 2009, MNRAS, 393, 179
- Ciotti L., Morganti L., 2010a, MNRAS, 401, 1091
- Ciotti L., Morganti L., 2010b, MNRAS, 408, 1070
- Ciotti L., Pellegrini S., 1992, MNRAS, 255, 561
- Di Cintio P., 2014, PhD thesis, Technische Universität Dresden
- Di Cintio P., Ciotti L., 2011, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 21, 2279 (DCC11)
- Di Cintio P., Ciotti L., Nipoti C., 2013, MNRAS, 431, 3177 (DCCN13)
- Di Cintio P., Ciotti L., Nipoti C., 2015, J. Plasma Phys., 81, 689 (DCCN15)
- Djorgovski S., Davis M., 1987, ApJ, 313, 59
- Dressler A., Lynden-Bell D., Burstein D., Davies R. L., Faber S. M., Terlevich R., Wegner G., 1987, ApJ, 313, 42
- Eddington A. S., 1916, MNRAS, 76, 572
- Efthymiopoulos C., Voglis N., 2001, A&A, 378, 679
- Efthymiopoulos C., Voglis N., Kalapotharakos C., 2007, in Benest D., Froeschle C., Lega E., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 729, Special Features of Galactic Dynamics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 297
- Fridman A. M., Polyachenko V. L., 1984, Physics of Gravitating Systems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Gajda G., Łokas E. L., Wojtak R., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 97
- Gerhard O. E., Spergel D. N., 1992, ApJ, 397, 38
- Grech M. et al., 2011, Phys. Rev. E, 84, 056404
- Grubmüller H., Heller H., Windemuth A., Schulten K., 1991, Mol. Simul., 6, 121
- Hernquist L., 1990, ApJ, 356, 359
- Hjorth J., 1994, ApJ, 424, 106
- Iguchi O., 2002, Phys. Rev. E, 66, 051112
- Ispolatov I., Cohen E. G. D., 2001a, Phys. Rev. E, 64, 056103
- Ispolatov I., Cohen E. G. D., 2001b, Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, 210601
- Levin Y., Pakter R., Rizzato F. B., Teles T. N., Benetti F. P. C., 2014, Phys. Rep., 535, 1
- Londrillo P., Nipoti C., 2009, Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital. Suppl., 13, 89
- Lynden-Bell D., 1967, MNRAS, 136, 101
- Lynden-Bell D., Lynden-Bell R. M., 2004, J. Stat. Phys., 117, 199
- MacMillan J. D., Widrow L. M., Henriksen R. N., 2006, ApJ, 653, 43
- Marcos B., Gabrielli A., Joyce M., 2012, Cent. Eur. J. Phys., 10, 676
- Marcos B., Gabrielli A., Joyce M., 2017, preprint (arXiv:1701.01865)
- Maréchal L., Perez J., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 2785
- Maréchal L., Perez J., 2011, Transp. Theory Stat. Phys., 40, 425
- Merritt D., 1985, AJ, 90, 1027
- Merritt D., Aguilar L. A., 1985, MNRAS, 217, 787
- Meza A., Zamorano N., 1997, ApJ, 490, 136

- Milgrom M., 1983, ApJ, 270, 365
- Moffat J. W., 2006, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 3, 004
- Moffat J. W., Rahvar S., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1439
- Nipoti C., Londrillo P., Ciotti L., 2002, MNRAS, 332, 901
- Nipoti C., Londrillo P., Ciotti L., 2007, ApJ, 660, 256
- Nipoti C., Ciotti L., Londrillo P., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 3298 (NCL11)
- Osipkov L. P., 1979, Sov. Astron. Lett., 5, 42
- Pakter R., Marcos B., Levin Y., 2013, Phys. Rev. Lett., 111, 230603
- Palmer P. L., 1994b, in Contopoulos G., Spyrou N. K., Vlahos L., eds, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 433, Galactic Dynamics and N-Body Simulations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 143
- Palmer P. L. ed. 1994a, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 185, Stability of Collisionless Stellar Systems: Mechanisms for the Dynamical Structure of Galaxies. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- Palmer P. L., Papaloizou J., 1987, MNRAS, 224, 1043
- Perez J., Aly J.-J., 1996, MNRAS, 280, 689
- Perez J., Alimi J.-M., Aly J.-J., Scholl H., 1996, MNRAS, 280, 700
- Polyachenko V. L., 1992, Sov. J. Extp. Theo. Phys., 74, 755
- Polyachenko V. L., Shukhman I. G., 1981, Sov. Astron., 25, 533

- Polyachenko E. V., Shukhman I. G., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 601
- Saha P., 1991, MNRAS, 248, 494
- Sotiriou T. P., Faraoni V., 2010, Rev. Mod. Phys., 82, 451
- Stiavelli M., Sparke L. S., 1991, ApJ, 382, 466
- Sylos Labini F., Benhaiem D., Joyce M., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 4458
- Theis C., Spurzem R., 1999, A&A, 341, 361 Trenti M., Bertin G., 2006, ApJ, 637, 717
- Trenti M., Bertin G., van Albada T. S., 2005, A&A, 433, 57
- van Albada T. S., 1982, MNRAS, 201, 939
- Verlinde E. P., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1611.02269)
- Voglis N., 1994, MNRAS, 267, 379
- Worrakitpoonpon T., 2015, MNRAS, 446, 1335
- Zakharov A. F., Nucita A. A., De Paolis F., Ingrosso G., 2006, Phys. Rev. D, 74, 107101
- Zhao G.-B., Li B., Koyama K., 2011, Phys. Rev. D, 83, 044007

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/LATFX file prepared by the author.