Probing the earliest galaxies and reionization

Eros Vanzella

INAF - Astronomical Observatory of Bologna



Lectures #1 and #2

1

Lectures

Lecture 1

Monday 2hr

Cosmic reionization: how was the IGM reionized? Probes of cosmic reionization (and ionization) Deep surveys Selection techniques of high-redshift galaxies Redshift evolution of the UV luminosity function

Lecture 2

Tuesday 1hr



Ionized IGM

UV LFs

Is all cosmic reionization made by galaxies ? Issues on the escape fraction

Lecture 3

Thursday 1hr

Spectr. observations

Exploring the farthest and faintest galaxies with deep spectroscopy: the first two Gyrs after the Big-Bang

Lecture 4

Friday 1hr

Current limitations: the future

generation optical/near-IR facilities: Next JWST and ELT

Cosmic history of the Universe

Reionized universe -

Dark ages

- Reionization \square



When it happened ? Who reionized the Universe ? How reionization proceeded ?

Key aspects:

3

Inflation

Primordial / fluctuations

Cosmic microwave background

- A major event in the cosmic history of the Universe that impacted on almost every baryon in the Universe

- Detailed measurements of the IGM properties inform models of high-z structure formation

- Early generation of ionizing sources influenced the formation of subsequent galaxy populations



The Ly-alpha transition:



Lyman continuum (photons with λ<912A, E>13.6eV photoionize hydrogen atoms)



 $A_{2p1s} \approx 10^{-9}s$



λ=1215.7A; v=2.46x10¹⁵Hz



 $\alpha(\nu) \equiv n_{\rm HI}(\sigma_{\alpha}(\nu) + \sigma_{\rm dust})$



dust absorption cross section per hydrogen atom and SMC, LMC grain type

* recombination radiation

 $j(v) = j_{rec}(v) + j_{coll}(v)$ Volume emissivity of Lya, s⁻¹cm⁻

collisional-excited
radiation (cooling)

Change in intensity of radiation I(v) at frequency v and propagating into direction **n** (|**n**|=1)

6

attenuation

coefficient

Ly=alpha $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla I(\nu, \mathbf{n}) = (\alpha(\nu)I(\nu, \mathbf{n}) + j(\nu, \mathbf{n}))$ $+ \int d\Omega' \int d\mathbf{n}' I(\nu', \mathbf{n}'(R(\nu', \nu, \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{n})))$

Integro-differential equation, studied for decades (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1945; Unno 1950; Harrington 1973; Neufeld 1990; Yang+11; Higgins & Meiksin 2012; Dijkstra+14).

 $R(\nu',\nu,\mathbf{n}',\mathbf{n})$

"Redistribution function" (diffusion in space and fr<u>equency</u>

Lya Radiative Transfer Equation

1215.7A

λ=1215.7A; v=2.46x10¹⁵Hz





Messages:



dust absorption cross section per hydrogen atom and SMC, LMC grain type

attenuation coefficient $\alpha(v)$ =

Change in intensity of radiation I(v) at frequency v and propagating into direction **n** (|**n**|=1)

6

- Lya is a **resonant** transition
- Lya can be **destroyed** by dust
- Neutral HI diffuse Lya photons in space and frequency (resonantly)

on radiation

<mark>missivity of Lya</mark>, s⁻¹cm⁻

ional-excited tion (cooling)

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla I(\nu, \mathbf{n}) = (\alpha(\nu)I(\nu, \mathbf{n}) + j(\nu, \mathbf{n})) + \int d\Omega' \int d\mathbf{n}' I(\nu', \mathbf{n}' R(\nu', \nu, \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{n}))$$

Integro-differential equation, studied for decades (e.g. Chandrasekhar 1945; Unno 1950; Harrington 1973; Neufeld 1990; Yang+11; Higgins & Meiksin 2012; Dijkstra+14).

 $R(\nu',\nu,\mathbf{n}',\mathbf{n})$

"Redistribution function" (diffusion in space and frequency

Lya transition is an astrophysical and cosmological tool

<u>Combination of the two:</u> Lya demography in galaxies vs. redshift probes cosmic reionization Lecture #4



... the emergent Lya in galaxies: ISM physics, nature of ion. source

... Lya absorption in the intergalactic medium (IGM) – Cosmic web



ionization is imprinted in the IGM...



"Partially coherent" scattering: the transition is resonant, and the bulk motion of the optically thick gas and dust in the ISM and CGM, shapes the emerging Lya profile

Ly-alpha Forest

– Consider a photon emitted by a source (placed at Zs) with wavelength λe < λa , where λa is 1215.7A (Lya)

- Suppose there is a hydrogen atom at some redshift Zabs < Zs, where the photon is redshifted to the resonant Lya line according to 1215.7 = $\lambda e[(1+Zs)/(1+Zabs)]$ (from our point of view)

- This atom will scatter the photon out of the line of sight.

- Therefore Lya scattering with neutral hydrogen will remove light from QSO spectrum at wavelengths (1+redshift) λa and 0<redshift<Zs.

For example:

photon emitted at 1000A @ Zs=3.5 is redshifted to 1215.7A @ Zabs=2.701



The Gunn Peterson optical depth

in a smoothly distributed "sea" of neutral hydrogen in the expanding universe (Gunn and Peterson 1965)



However we measure significant signal wavelengths < 1215.7A

-τGł

does it mean

$$\tau_{\rm GP}(\nu_{\rm em}) = \int_0^\infty ds \ n_{\rm HI}(s) \sigma_\alpha(\nu[s, \nu_{\rm em}])$$

9

Along the proper differential distance ds a photons is redshifted by an amount dv = -ds H(z) v/c. Photons that were initially emitted at $v_{em} > v\alpha$ will thus redshift into the line resonance (1215.7A)

$$= \frac{\bar{n}_{HI}(z)\lambda_{\alpha}}{H(z)} f_{\alpha} \frac{\pi e^{2}}{m_{e}c} \approx 7.0 \times 10^{5} \left(\frac{1+z}{10}\right)^{3/2} = \tau_{GP}$$
 where $\bar{n}_{HI}(z)$ is the mean
number density of neutral
hydrogen atoms at redshift z
$$\tau_{GP} > 1e5$$
 Transmission $T_{GP} = e^{-\tau GP}$

The Gunn Peterson optical depth



Average IGM transmission (T) at z=2(4) is 85%(50%) τ eff(IGM) = -ln|T| = $z=2 \rightarrow 0.15$ z=4 $\rightarrow 0.90$ e.g., Faucher-Gihurere 2008

Observations: $\tau_{eff(IGM)} \ll \tau_{GP}$ (>1e5)

Note: Even a modest neutral fraction produces a complete absorption

 $\langle X_{\rm HI} \rangle = N_{\rm HI} / N_{\rm HII} = 10^{-4.5}$ $e^{-\tau GP} \approx 0$

The IGM at z<6 is highly ionized



Ly-alpha Forest: Observations many L.O.S. up to z=7

... numerous Lya absorption lines in the spectra of distant quasars are called "Lya Forest" (LAF)

"LAF is the observable manifestation of the intergalactic neutral hydrogen that traces the cosmic web of largescale structure" (Rauch 1998)

$$\langle f_{\rm H\,I}
angle(z) = (1.3 imes 10^{-5}) \left(rac{1+z}{5.6}
ight)^\eta ~~\eta$$

Volume-average neutral fraction Becker+15





what about z>5.5 ...

At z>5.5 the Lya GP trough is observed, suggesting an increase of the neutral fraction in the IGM



adapted from Becker+15

Lya GP trough, approaching reionization z>5.5

J1148+5251 z=6.42	A second s
J1030+0524 z=6.28	
J1623+3112 z=8.22	
J1048+4637 z=6.20	
11250+3130 == 5.13	
J1137+3549 z=8.01	
J0818+1722 z=6.00	the second second
J1306+0356 z=5.99	and the second s
J1335+3533 z=5.95	Man Mar and Mar and Market Market and Market a
J1411+1217 z=5.93	w
JDB40+5624 z=5.85	www. and when an an and a start when the when the when the second start when the second
J0005-0008 z=5.85	M
J1436+5007 z=5.83	Manunching of demand and the second
JDB36+0054 z=5.82	Manna and a start and a start a
J0002+2550 z=5.80	the second s
JD927+2001 z=5.79	my any and a state and a stat
J1044-0125 z=5.74	Management
Web0 7600 7200 7400 Teb0 7400 6000 FZ	6 8460 81400 64000 10006 9260 9400 6800 140

Fan et al. (2006)





Becker+15

The IGM neutral fraction is increasing toward z=6 (Becker+15) (see also Mortlock+11 in QSO at z=7.08)

Reionization happened at z>~6, within the first Gyr

Lya GP trough, approaching reionization z>5.5

z = 6.25

z = 6.13

z = 6.12

z = 6.06

z = 6.05

z = 5.98

z = 5.72

1.5

0.5

1.0

erg s

J1148+5251 z=8.42 J1030+0524 z=6.28 J1023+3112 z=8.22 J1048+4637 z=6.20 J1250+3130 z=5.13 J1602+4228 z=8.07 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3633 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80		
J1030+0524 z=6.28 J1623+3112 z=8.22 J1048+4637 z=6.20 J1250+3130 z=5.13 J1602+4228 z=8.07 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0005-0006 z=5.85 J0005-0006 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2650 z=5.80 J0827+2001 z=5.79	J1148+5251 z=6.42	A statement of the stat
J1623+3112 z=8.22 J1048+4637 z=6.20 J1250+3130 z=6.13 J1602+4228 z=8.07 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3544 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.89 J1335+3533 z=5.85 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	11030+0524 z=6.28	<u>→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+→+</u>
J1623+3112'z=8.22 J1048+4637'z=6.20 J1250+3130'z=6.13 J1602+4228'z=6.05 J1130+4012'z=6.05 J1137+3549'z=8.01 J0818+1722'z=6.00 J1306+0356'z=5.99 J1335+3533'z=5.95 J1411+1217'z=5.93 J0840+5624'z=5.85 J0840+5624'z=5.85 J0840+5624'z=5.85 J0858+0054'z=5.85 J0828+0054'z=5.80 J0927+2001'z=5.79		The second secon
J1048+4637 z=6.20 J1250+3130 z=6.13 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+s624 z=5.85 J0840+s624 z=5.85 J0858+0054 z=5.85 J0838+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	J1623+3112 z=8.22	mm
J1040+4637 2=0.20 J1250+3130 z=6.13 J1602+4228 z=6.05 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J00818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0002+2550 z=5.80	110481 4627 - 6 85	
J1250+3130 ⁺ z=5.13 J1602+4228 ⁺ z=8.07 J1630+4012 ⁺ z=6.05 J1137+3549 ⁺ z=8.01 J0818+1722 ⁺ z=6.00 J1306+0356 ⁺ z=5.99 J1335+3533 ⁺ z=5.95 J1335+3533 ⁺ z=5.95 J1411+1217 ⁺ z=5.93 J0840+5624 ⁺ z=5.85 J0005-0008 ⁺ z=5.85 J0005 ⁺ z=5.85 J0002+2550 ⁺ z=5.85 J0002+2550 ⁺ z=5.80 J0002+2550 ⁺ z=5.79	VI0+0++031 2=0.20	al and the second second
J1602+4228 z=8.07 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3544 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 JD840+5624 z=5.85 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0002+2550 z=5.80	J1250+3130 z=5.13	
J1802+4228 z=8.07 J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1355+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79		-+
J1630+4012 z=6.05 J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1456+5007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	J1802+4228 z=8.07	and the second s
J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+s624 z=5.85 J1456+5007 z=5.85 J1456+5007 z=5.85 J0838+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	J1630+4012 z=6.05	
J1137+3549 z=8.01 J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0827+2001 z=5.79	and the second s	man when when when when when when when whe
J0818+1722 z=6.00 J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3633 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+s624 z=5.85 J0840+s624 z=5.85 J1456+s007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0802+2550 z=5.80 J0827+2001 z=5.79	J1137+3549 z=8.01	Marine and a second
J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	J0818+1722 7=6.0D	www
J1306+0356 z=5.99 J1335+3533 z=5.95 J1411+1217 z=5.93 J0840+5624 z=5.85 J0005-000B z=5.85 J1456+s007 z=5.85 J0836+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	- your property and open good program of the program from the	sported and the second se
J1336+3533 z=5.85 J1411+1217 z=5.93 JDB40+5624 z=5.85 J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1436+5007 z=5.85 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	J1306+0356 z=5.99	when he had a second se
J1411+1217 ¹ z=5.93 JDB40+5624 ¹ z=5.85 J10005-0008 ¹ z=5.85 J1456+5007 ¹ z=5.85 JDB36+0054 ¹ z=5.82 J0002+2550 ¹ z=5.80 JD927+2001 ¹ z=5.79	J1335+3533 z=5.85	the second secon
J1411+1217'z=5.93 JD840+5624'z=5.85 J1456+5007'z=5.85 JD836+0054'z=5.82 J0002+2550'z=5.80 JD927+2001'z=5.79	and the second s	
JDB40+5624 z=5.85 J1456+5007 z=5.85 JDB36+0054 z=5.82 JDD27+2001 z=5.79	J1411+1217 z=5.93	
J0005-0008 z=5.85 J1456+5007 z=5.85 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0002+2550 z=5.80 J0927+2001 z=5.79	JD840+5824 7=5.85	Charles and a second se
J0005-0008'z=5.85 J1456+5007'z=5.85 J0838+0054'z=5.82 J0002+2550'z=5.80 J0927+2001'z=5.79	my burner to my how to my my how the day	many the man have been by the way of the second of the sec
J1436+5007'z=5.83 JDB36+0054'z=5.82 J0002+2550'z=5.80 JD927+2001'z=5.79	J0005-0008 z=5.85	<u></u>
JDB36+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 JDB27+2d01 z=5.79	11456+5007 == 5 83	- <u> </u>
JDB36+0054 z=5.82 J0002+2550 z=5.80 JD927+2001 z=5.79		and an and the second of the s
J0002+2550 ¹ z=5.80 JD927+2001 z=5.78	JDB36+0054 z=5.82	Manna and a start and a start and a start and a start a
JD927+2001 z=5.78	10002+2550 7-5 80	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
JD927+2001'z=5.79		~ more management
and the second	JD927+2001 z=5.79	way along and a sugar and a sugar and a land a sugar and a sugar a sug
1044 0195 - 574	11044 0195 - 5.74	No.
mathana and a manufacture and a second and a	01044-0125 Z=5.74	when we wanted and the second
1860 7600 7206 7400 1660 7400 6006 1206 9400 8100 6800 1006 1200 9400 8100	Web0 7600 7200 7400 Teb0 7400 6005	1206 1400 1100 4100 1006 1200 9400 4100) (8)

Fan et al. (2006)



Becker+15 The IGM neutral fraction is increasing toward z=6(Becker+15) (see also Mortlock+11 in QSO at z=7.08)

> Reionization happened at z>~6, within the first Gyr



Measuring the ionizing background from the LAF (I)

Total photo-ionization rate Γ

 $\Gamma_{\rm H\,{\scriptscriptstyle I}}(z) = 4\pi \int_{\nu_{912}}^{\infty} \frac{d\nu}{h\nu} J_{\nu}(z)\sigma_{\rm H\,{\scriptscriptstyle I}}(\nu) \text{ Number of ionized phot.}$

Ly-γ 972 λ

Measuring $\Gamma_{\rm HI}$:

QSO proximity effect

Deficit of IGM abs. depends on the relative contributions of ionizing photons from the local source and the UVB. Knowing the QSO luminosity, the UV background photoionization rate can be estimated (Carswell et al. 1987; Calverley+11)

Modeling the mean transmitted flux in the LAF

The mean level of transmission of the Ly α forest is set by the equilibrium between the ionizing background and recombinations in the IGM. Thus, given a model of the density fluctuations in the IGM and its gas temperature-density relation, the mean transmission of the Ly α forest can be inverted to give $\Gamma_{\rm HI}$ (e.g. Rauch et al. 1997; Rollinde+13 and many others)



Ionizing background UVB Average Specific intensity at v and z (erg/s/cm2/sr/Hz)

$$J_{\nu_{912}} = \frac{\Gamma_{\rm H\,I} h(\gamma_{\rm bg} + 3)}{4\pi \,\sigma_{\rm H\,I}(\nu_{912})} \left[1 - \frac{1}{4^{\gamma_{\rm bg} + 3}} \right]^{-1}$$

 $J(v)=J_{912}(v/v_{912})^{-ybg}$

H

Measuring

Measuring the ionizing emissivity from the LAF (II)



Flat behavior at 2<z<4.5, useful to anchor reionization models

"photon-starved" process at z=6 ? Just enough photons present to reionize the IGM

at z=6 the measured num. of ion. phot. emitted per hydrogen atom over the Hubble time (2-5) \gtrless the required photons (2-3)

IGM

Total comoving emissivity of ionizing photons (LAF)

(phot/s/Mpc3)

Total comoving emissivity of ionizing photons (LFs)

$$\dot{n}_{\rm ion}^{\rm com}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^3} \int_{\nu_{912}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu}{h\nu} \epsilon_{\nu}(z)$$

'n

$$f_{\rm ion}^{\rm com} = \int_{M_{\rm lim}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}M_{\rm UV} \,\phi(M_{\rm UV}) \gamma_{\rm ion}(M_{\rm UV}) \,f_{\rm esc}$$

Ionizing Sources LFs: Galaxies, AGN

emissivity ($\epsilon_{v [erg/s/Hz/Mpc3]} \rightarrow Jv \rightarrow \Gamma_{HI}$)

Observational facts:

1) From Lya-forest effective optical depth of distant QSOs: The Universe was highly ionized up to 90% of its age (0<z<6).

2) A ionizing background has been measured and evolve with redshift (z<6)

3) At z>6 we are entering into the epoch of reionization, GP trough at z>5.5 (+ LAE demography, see also Lecture#4)
3a) reionization may be a "photon-starved" process:
There are only just enough photons present to reionize the IGM at z~6 (Becker et al. 2015)

Question:

What were the sources responsible to the (re)ionization of the Universe ?
What was the nature of the first ionizing sources?

Natural candidates are star-forming galaxies and AGNs





see Becker (2015)



Searching for distant star-forming galaxies









1995 Hubble Deep Field

Ground-based observatories

1990



2004 Hubble Ultra Deep Field



2010 Hubble Ultra Deep Field-IR



FUTURE James Webb Space Telescope

Redshift (z):

Time after Present the Big Bang





7 8	10	>20
800	480	200
million	million	million
years	years	years

Panchromatic deep surveys The deepest optical & near-infrared field ever



Panchromatic deep surveys The deepest optical & near-infrared field ever



Hubble Ultra Deep Field:

(Credit: NASA; ESA; and G. Bacon and Z. Levay, STScI)





An example: Extreme deep field observations http://xdf.ucolick.org/ Hubble Extreme Deep Field XDF

Near Infrared (WFC)

two millions seconds exposure time (24 days) with HST! num.galaxies 7200 area 4.7 sq.arcmin



An example: Extreme deep field observations http://xdf.ucolick.org/ Hubble Extreme Deep Field XDF iwo millions seconds exposure time (24 days) with HST! nam.galaxies 7200 area 4.7 sq.aremin ACS+WFC3

How can we go through cosmic time ? Redshift is needed.

Spectroscopy provides the best measure, but it is time consuming, unfeasible for faint source, + multiplexing, ... (useful for validation)



Near Infrared (W

Dropout selection technique – high redshift Steidel et al. (1999)

- Lyman Break Galaxy Selection: based on IGM absorption Remember $au_{eff(IGM)}$

- z>7 (<0.8 Gyr) can only be seen at Near Infrared wavelengths , lambda > lum



Selecting high-z galaxies: Color-color diagrams



2) The other color sets constraints on the UV slopes (age+metallicity+dust extinction)



 One color captures the IGM attenuation (z) [LAF + Lyman C.] Tight relation with z, small scatter

e.g., Giavalisco et al. (2004); Bouwens+15



Example: U-band dropout selection (z=3)



Color selection: Guess on redshift with typical error 0.3-0.5 + systematic 25(could be catastrophic)



Typical error on redshift < 0.003 1+zspec = $\lambda obs / \lambda o$ => limited to bright galaxies mag<25-26

Color-color diagrams



Simulating the selection functions





Vanzella et al. 2009

Photometric redshifts (I): Template fitting technique

Multi-band Deep fields





Starbust99;Bruzual&Charlot2003

Stellar populations synthesis models: stellar evolutionary tracks vs. ages vs. metallicites + dust extinction (e.g., Calzetti law)

Can be used for galaxies or AGN from z=0 to 10

Exploit the full set of filters Nm

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\rm m}} \left[\frac{F_{\rm obs}^k - a \cdot F_{\rm temp}^k(z)}{\sigma_F^k} \right]^2$$

Find the best fitting template; redshift as a free parameter see, e.g., Bolzonella et al. (2000)



Giallongo et al. (2015), Salvato et al. 2011 Arnouts 2003

Photometric redshifts (I): Template fitting technique

Multi-band Deep fields

⁷ Observed colors

Exploit the full set of filters Nm



Photometric redshifts (II): Empirical techniques

Example: machine learning

find a map between multi-dim colors and redshift, minimization of a merit function based on a training sample (e.g., Vanzella et al. 2004; Simm et al. 2015)



z=f(colors, priors)



Vanzella et al. (2004)

Photometric redshifts (II): Empirical techniques

Example: machine learning

find a map between multi-dim colors and redshift, minimization of a merit function based on a training sample (e.g., Vanzella et al. 2004; Simm et al. 2015)



z=f(colors, priors)

Committee of Neural Networs



Vanzella et al. (2004)

Photometric redshifts (II): Empirical techniques

Example: machine learning

find a map between multi-dim colors and redshift, minimization of a merit function based on a training sample (e.g., Vanzella et al. 2004; Simm et al. 2015)



z=f(colors, priors)

Committee of Neural Networs



Vanzella et al. (2004)

Photometric redshifts: captures broad features (breaks: Lyman limit; Lya-break; Balmer break) + global shape



Star-forming regions (HII): Nebular recombination lines like Oxigen, Balmer, Lyman lines



... the nebular contribution to photometry is important, in some cases dominant, as redshift increases (proportional to (1+z)):



Schaerer & de Barros (2009)

Examples of emission lines signature a high redfshift



Strong [OIII]5007 line

MOSPIRE SPECIFUIII (RECK)

From De Barros et al. (2015)

\mathfrak{m} zstari =26.0 zstart = 25.5 zstari = 26.5 zstari =27.0 z~6 Selection - ^Z850) 2 \sim Z₈₅₀)_{AB} (i ₇₇₅-(i₇₇₅ 0 \circ 5 5 5 6 $(Y_{105} - H_{160})_{AB}$ Redshift

Effect of Lya emission on photometry at z>5.5, Vanz+09

Examples of emission lines signature a high redfshift





Effect of Lya emission on photometry at z>5.5, Vanz+09

Narrow band selection technique



Green: Narrow bands centered at 8160A and 9210A, corresponding to z=5.7 and 6.5 **IF** Lya is captured, similarly at z=7 with the NB9700 filter Ouchi (2010)

NB filters have also been customized: designed to investigate a specific redshift value (Hayes et al.)



Mostardi et al. (2013)

Summarizing: high-z selection

Photometric redshifts: captures broad features (breaks: Lyman limit;
Lya-break; Balmer break) + neb. emission + global shapeTemplate fittingEmpirical (machine learning)Advantages:
- flexible (filter set)
- derive physical properties
(nebular+physics)Advantages:
- fast computation (once training is done)
e.g., one billion galaxies in 5 hours
- model-independent
(- photometric zeropoints)Disadvantages:Disadvantages:

- "model-dependent"
e.g., nebular emission introduce recently
- need accurate phot. zeropoints

- training on the same filter set

 uncertain extrapolation toward faint limits

Color-color diagrams

Efficient to select high-z sources: IGM break+UV slope

Narrow-band selection

Efficient to select line emission: small volume, line contamination



Simulating high-z selection, adding noise to real data, dim galaxies re-select them -> monitor selection criteria.

Expected small fraction of contamination: (2,3,6,7,10%, for z=4,5,6,7,8, redshift dependent)

- Cold galactic stars
- Supernovae
- Photometric scatter (dominant)
- EELG
- Spurious sources



Simulating high-z selection, adding noise to real data, dim galaxies re-select them -> monitor selection criteria.

Expected small fraction of contamination: (2,3,6,7,10%, for z=4,5,6,7,8, redshift dependent)

- Cold galactic stars
- Supernovae
- Photometric scatter (dominant)
- EELG
- Spurious sources

Galaxy luminosity function Consider a sample of galaxies 5 with an estimated redshift. We can define the following quantities: $n_{s}(L) \rightarrow$ number of galaxies in S per unit luminosity $n_{S}(L)dL \rightarrow \lim_{L \& L+dL} \int umber of galaxies in S with luminosity between$ $\Phi(L) \rightarrow$ Luminosity function such that $\phi_{s}(L) = n_{s}(L) / V_{s}(L)$ Number of galaxies in 5 per unit Volume and L $\phi_{s}(L) \rightarrow \phi(L)$ as $V_{s} \rightarrow \infty$ Universal luminosity function

The Schechter function (Schechter 1976)



 $\phi(M)dM = \phi(L)d(-L) \qquad M-M^* = -2.5\log(L/L^*)$

 $\phi(M) = \phi^*(\ln(10)/2.5) \ 10^{-0.4(M-M^*)(a+1)} \ e^{-10^{-0.4(M-M^*)}}$

Magnitude domain

power-law-like

slope α at

the faint end

φ*= normalization density h⁻³ Mpc⁻³
 M* = characteristic absolute magnitude
 a = faint-end slope

Example: UV LF at z≈3

Best-fit Schecther parameters (Reddy & Steidel 2009)

Redshift Range	α	M* _{AB} (1700 Å)	$\phi^* (\times 10^{-3} \text{ Mpc}^{-3})$
$1.9 \le z < 2.7$	-1.73 ± 0.07	-20.70 ± 0.11	2.75 ± 0.54
$2.7 \le z < 3.4$	-1.73 ± 0.13	-20.97 ± 0.14	1.71 ± 0.53

exponential cutoff brightward of some characteristic magnitude M*



Reddy & Steidel (2009)

Probing LFs along cosmic time: need multi-frequency deep surveys We want to probe faint and distant galaxies to z=10 – i.e., redshifted radiation + faint emission – this implies to access deeply near infrared bands

Wavelength coverage:

access NIR (but also UV is important for re-ionization)

Long integration time

[•]Future facilities: increase collecting area and wavelength coverage (e.g., JWST, ELT) – see lecture #5

Deep surveys: GOODS+CANDELS (HST, Spitzer, Chandra, Herschel, Ground-based ...)



Giavalisco et al. (2004); Grogin et al. (2011)



"Dropout technique" Color-color diagrams

Selectiong galaxies at redshift 4 to 10 1000 sq.armin

#n. gal.
5859 z≈4
3001 z≈5
857 z≈6
481 z≈7
216 z≈8
6 z≈10

Surface densities of candidates z>3.5 galaxies



Luminosity functions at redshift 4<z<11 (0.5-1.8 Gyrs)

 $\phi(M) = \phi^*(\ln(10)/2.5) \ 10^{-0.4(M-M^*)(a+1)} \ e^{-10^{-0.4(M-M^*)}}$

	┚╵╎╵╵╎╵╵╎╵╵┕╸┠┥	Dropout			ϕ^*	
-2 z~4	z~5	Sample	<z></z>	$M_{ m UV}^{ m *}{}^{ m a}$	$(10^{-3} \text{ Mpc}^{-3})$	α
		U	3.0	-20.97 ± 0.14	1.71 ± 0.53	-1.73 ± 0.13
	- 5 -	B	3.8	-20.88 ± 0.08	$1.97^{+0.34}_{-0.29}$	-1.64 ± 0.04
		V	4.9	-21.17 ± 0.12	$0.74^{+0.18}_{-0.14}$	-1.76 ± 0.05
- 🚽 🔹 This Work	- a this Work -	i	5.9	-20.94 ± 0.20	$0.50^{+0.22}_{-0.16}$	-1.87 ± 0.10
-6 - Bouwens+2007 - × vdB+2010 _	Bouwens+2007 McLure+2009	z	6.8	-20.87 ± 0.26	$0.29^{+0.21}_{-0.12}$	-2.06 ± 0.13
• Steidel+1999	× vdB+2010 □ lwata+2007 -	Y	7.9	-20.63 ± 0.36	$0.21^{+0.23}_{-0.11}$	-2.02 ± 0.23
		J	10.4	-20.92 (fixed)	$0.008^{+0.004}_{-0.003}$	-2.27 (fixed)
2 ⊢ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' +						
z~6	_ z~7		00	laptea tr	om Bouv	vens+15
				A CONTRACTOR		
<u></u> [⊂] −4 ⊢ <u>4</u> [−] −						
	- Schenker+2013 - Bouwens+2011 _	<u>ی</u>			י א [ַ] אַ אַ	
O - Bouwens+2012	Oesch+2010a McLure+2010	d ⊻ _'	2 Ł Z	>6 U≳	-८ 🦼	-
E −6 4 800000000000000000000000000000000000	Bouwens+2008 Bouwens+2010b Request+2009					- I - I
Z / Bowler+2013 Bowler+2015	- Castellano+2010 - Sover+2014 -	-	E	*		
		рс — ,	3 -			∩ ⊣
	- 7~10	E	E			
	- * -		ΔĿ	XYY		_
	- + -	er	TE 🖌		· 🖌 🔶	z~4
		<u>e</u>			* ±	z~5 _
- This Work McLure+2013 -	Į 🚺 -	5 – t	5 🖵 📝			z~7 _
= $ = $ =		Z		Y/_ / ⊥	-	z~8 _
Browens+2010 Bowens+2010	This Work	10	а <mark>Ц</mark> і/Ц	F/ X	-	
- // P McLure+2010 -		<u>o</u>	Ŭ ₩/ /	í / tu lu lu		🗄
-22 -20 -18 -10	5 - 22 - 20 - 18 - 16			22 2	0 10	16
22 20 10 N			√£ -			
1600,AB	11600,AF		ノト 4		1003.70	3 8

40 Bouwens+15 (see also Finkelstein+15)

Luminosity functions at redshift 4<z<11 (0.5-1.8 Gyrs)



40 Bouwens+15 (see also Finkelstein+15)

A z=10 galaxy (candidate) – challenging

Hubble Ultra Deep Field 2009-2010

Hubble Space Telescope • WFC3/IR



Evolution of the faint-end slope α



z



 $d\alpha/dz = -0.10 \pm 0.02$

Steepening of faint-end slope of the LFs with redshift: this means the number of faint galaxies is very large => relevant for reionization

The cosmic ultraviolet luminosity density

 $\rho_{1500} = \int_{Lmin}^{\infty} L \phi(L) dL$ = L* $\phi^* \Gamma(2+a, Lmin/L^*)$ Γ Incomplete gamma function

integral diverge if alpha \leq -2 and Lmin=0

Bouwens+15



Lmin

Trenti et al. (2010): a DM halo with mass > 0.6–1.8 x 10^8 M \odot is required to cool and form stars (when irradiated by a UV field as during the EoR), this corresponds to a minimum Muv=–10

Alavi+14 (observations)



Luminosity density at 1500A, p1500



mag

Luminosity Density /

ergs/cm²/s/Mpc³/mag

1026

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

UV Background

-20

M_{UV}

-18

-16

1500A

-22

The following step is to relate to $\rho_{1500} \rightarrow \rho_{<912A}$

Faint, high-redshift dwarf galaxies are therefore widely postulated as likely candidates for making up the ionizing photon budjet

> -14 -12 -10 Muv



We need reliable constraints at the deepest lum. limits



(1) = M (M) = 0 (M)

Faint contribution have to extrapolate to below detection limits

With the faint-end slopes as observed (field): luminosity density completely dominated by faint galaxies



45



Exploiting "Cosmic Telescopes" : strong lensing magnification



Hubble Frontier Fields (P.I. Mountain, Loz) initiative, 140 orbits cluster/blank fields m~28.7-29 5-sigma + magnification

CLASH: 500 HST orbits

(P.I Postman, M.) 25 galaxy clusters m~27.5 5-sigma (16-band filters) + magnification

Exploiting "Cosmic Telescopes" : strong lensing magnification



Magnification maps

http://www.stsci.edu/hst/campaigns/frontier-fields/HST-Survey

for a lensed source at z = 9





Using Strong lensing to probe the faintest ultraviolet luminosity limits

Ultraviolet luminosity functions at z 7 and 8 from Frontier Fields project ("Cosmic Telescopes")



Comparison of the best fit $z \sim 7$ Schechter parameters

Reference	M_{UV}^{\star}	α	$\log_{10} \phi^{\star}$
	[AB mag]		$[Mpc^{-3}]$
This work	$-20.89\substack{+0.60\\-0.72}$	$-2.04\substack{+0.17\\-0.13}$	$-3.54\substack{+0.48\\-0.43}$
<u>Atek et al.</u> (2015) ^a	$-20.90\substack{+0.90\\-0.73}$	$-2.01^{+0.20}_{-0.28}$	$-3.55\substack{+0.57\\-0.57}$
Ishigaki et al. (2015a) ^a	$-20.45\substack{+0.1\\-0.2}$	$-1.94^{+0.09}_{-0.10}$	$-3.30\substack{+0.10\\-0.20}$
Bouwens et al. (2015b) <	-21.04 ± 0.26	-2.06 ± 0.12	$-3.65\substack{+0.25\\-0.11}$
Finkelstein et al. (2014)	$-21.03^{+0.37}_{-0.50}$	$-2.03^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$-3.80^{+0.41}_{-0.26}$

are we detecting reionizing sources ? Possibly just starting...

probes fainter limits



Kimm & Cen (2014)

Intensity of the UV fluctuation of the background

Based on the strength of the drop-out signature in the fluctuations measured in different bands



Redshift ~ 6 From HUDF: i775 and z850 -> Fourier space -> P(K)e.g., Calvi et al. (2013) derive $\alpha = -1.9$ at z = 6, 2.5 mags fainter than HUDF depth (m~29)



8 < redshift < 15 Mitchell-Wynne et al. (2015), Nature GOODS+CANDELS

Cross and Auto-corr in the Fourier space, V, i, z, J and H bands. At 8<z<15, the signal is present in J and H, it disappears in the optical: Ly-break is probed down to faint limits, possibly contribution of sources fainter than Muv = -16

Intensity of the UV fluctuation of the background

Based on the strength of the drop-out signature in the fluctuations measured in different bands



10²

10¹

Redshift ~ 6From HUDF: i775 and z850 -> Fourier space -> P(K)e.g., Calvi et al. (2013) derive $\alpha = -1.9$ at z = 6,2.5 mags fainter than HUDF depth (m~29)

A substantial fraction of the ultraviolet photons is coming from fainter sources at depths well below the detection threshold



Cross and Auto-corr in the Fourier space, V, i, z, J and H bands. At 8<z<15, the signal is present in J and H, it disappears in the optical: Ly-break is probed down to faint limits, possibly contribution of sources fainter than Muv = -16

Vature





• The Universe is highly ionized at z<6, $\tau_{eff(IGM)}$ (LAF)

At z>6 we are approaching the reionization epoch, GP trough

O The search for distant galaxies, "dropout" efficient method

 Ultraviolet luminosity functions of galaxies have been calculated, 0<z<10 and down to Muv ~ -16

 Steep faint-end slope, α<-2 at z>6: faint galaxies dominate UV lum. density, 1500A
 Are they dominating also the ionizing emission <912A ?? (re)ionization